

EXHIBIT 2

Basil P. Fthenakis, Esq. (88399)
CRITERION LAW
2225 E. Bayshore Road, Suite 200
Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel. (650) 352-8400
Fax. (650) 352-8408
bpf@criterionlaw.com

Of counsel:

David S. Godkin
James E. Kruzer
BIRNBAUM & GODKIN, LLP
280 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 307-6100
godkin@birnbaumgodkin.com
kruzer@birnbaumgodkin.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff,
SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,)	Case No.
)	
Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
)	JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE
v.)	RELIEF
)	
FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

///

///

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiff six4three, LLC (“643” or “Plaintiff”) brings this action for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief against Defendant Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook” or “Defendant”).

NATURE OF ACTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT

1. This is a civil action for declaratory and injunctive relief to vindicate the rights of 643 in connection with a gripe site maintained at FacebookAppEconomy.com (the “Site”). FacebookAppEconomy.com serves as an information resource for software developers, and in particular small businesses, (“Developers”) that have been impacted by Facebook's unfair and deceptive practices. Small businesses that have been impacted can use the website to sign up for updates that follow the course of ongoing litigation and learn how they may protect their rights.

The Site also serves as a forum for increased public awareness of the harm caused by a lack of regulation in application economies. This is an issue of great importance to the public, the legal system and the global economy. Today, some of the largest economies in the world have their rules made and monitored by companies who also get to play in the game. This aligns incentives naturally toward anti-competitive behavior that detrimentally impacts both small businesses and consumers.

Facebook's application economy in particular has experienced a series of anti-competitive transfers of wealth. The Site focuses on a major one that impacted thousands of businesses, people and families: the closing of the Open Graph announced on April 30, 2014 in which Facebook gave itself a monopoly on building applications spanning numerous industries after promising for years that Developers could build the same applications and compete on neutral terms.

1 The Site offers a proposal for regulating Facebook's \$227 billion economy in order to
2 prevent events like this from happening again. The Site's proposal seeks to establish a basic set
3 of rights and obligations for everyone participating in Facebook's application economy with the
4 goal of minimizing perverse incentives towards anti-competitive behavior, which harms the
5 public interest and threatens the long-term viability of the economy itself. In doing so, the Site
6 seeks to engage the public and legal community not only to support the proposal but also to
7 participate in its evolution.

8
9 2. 643 is an image recognition software startup that built an application integrated
10 with Facebook's operating system, known as Facebook Platform. Like many Developers, 643
11 invested substantial sums of time, money and resources in building an application on Facebook
12 Platform. In doing so, 643 reasonably relied upon years of explicit promises from Facebook
13 regarding its commitment to neutrality, fairness and competition on Facebook Platform. On April
14 30, 2014, Facebook broke the promises it had made to Developers for many years and closed off
15 their access to many types of applications that they had already made substantial investments in
16 building and maintaining. Many companies were affected by this "bait and switch" tactic, and as
17 noted by *The Wall Street Journal*, a substantial number of these companies were forced to shut
18 down.¹

19
20 3. In April 2015, 643 filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California, County
21 of San Mateo ("Ongoing Litigation"). In June 2016, the Court denied Facebook's demurrer as to
22 four causes of action promulgated by 643, including a cause of action under California's Unfair
23 Competition Law (UCL) and scheduled the matter for trial in Spring 2017. In a court-mandated
24 mediation on 8 November 2016, 643 proposed that Facebook implement a series of policy
25

26 ¹ See: "Facebook's Restrictions on User Data Cast a Long Shadow," *The Wall Street Journal*, at
27 <http://www.wsj.com/articles/facebooks-restrictions-on-user-data-cast-a-long-shadow-1442881332>

1 changes as part of a settlement proposal to promote fairness and competition in Facebook's
2 application economy. Facebook refused to discuss these measures with 643. In an effort to raise
3 awareness of this important issue of anti-competitive behavior in application ecosystems, 643
4 notified Facebook of the Site, including its intention to engage the developer community around
5 this issue to garner support for its proposal.

6 4. On 11 November 2016, Facebook sent a Notice of Facebook Trademark
7 Infringement to 643, attached hereto as Exhibit A. In its Notice, Facebook claims that 643's
8 registration and use of the Site violates the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*) and infringes
9 and dilutes the famous Facebook trademark. The Notice requests that 643 remove the Site and let
10 its domain registration lapse or Facebook "will have no choice but to pursue all available
11 remedies against [643]". See Exhibit A.

12 5. 643 therefore brings this declaratory relief action to clarify the rights of the
13 parties, and to refute the baseless assertions of trademark infringement and trademark dilution
14 finally and definitively. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment holding that the Site does not
15 infringe any trademarks held by Defendant and is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine,
16 California's Anti-SLAPP Law (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 425.16), and the First Amendment of the
17 United States Constitution. 643 also seeks an injunction enjoining Defendant from any efforts to
18 enforce any trademark in the Facebook trademark against 643 and the Site, including through the
19 use of DMCA takedown notices, WIPO arbitration, or otherwise.

22 **THE PARTIES**

23 6. Plaintiff 643 is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of
24 business at 535 Mission Street, 14th Floor, San Francisco, California.
25
26
27

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Facebook, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with a principal place of business at One Hacker Way, Menlo Park, California.

8. This is an action for declaratory judgment arising under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 in that this Complaint raises federal questions arising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125, arising out of interstate commerce.

8. This is an action for declaratory judgment arising under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 in that this Complaint raises federal questions arising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125, arising out of interstate commerce.

10. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims has occurred and will continue to occur in the Northern District of California.

10. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims has occurred and will continue to occur in the Northern District of California.

11. Plaintiff 643 is an image recognition software company that has alleged it was harmed by Defendant Facebook's unfair and deceptive business practices. 643 invested in software that can automatically search and organize photos based on their contents in order to provide a more advanced photo sharing service for Facebook's users. For years, Facebook

11. Plaintiff 643 is an image recognition software company that has alleged it was harmed by Defendant Facebook's unfair and deceptive business practices. 643 invested in software that can automatically search and organize photos based on their contents in order to provide a more advanced photo sharing service for Facebook's users. For years, Facebook

1 promised Developers like 643 access to Facebook user photos so long as the user and their
2 friends permitted Developers' applications to access them. On April 30, 2014, Facebook
3 removed Developer access to Facebook user photos. As a result, Facebook became the only
4 company that could intelligently search and sort Facebook user photos. 643 was forced to shut
5 down its initial application and lost its investment of time, capital and labor in building its initial
6 application. Many other small businesses were similarly impacted by Facebook's decision to
7 monopolize this market.

8
9 12. To raise awareness of this issue of important public interest regarding the lack of
10 regulation in one of the largest economies in the world, 643 created the Site. The Site is
11 evidently a gripe site and is entirely non-commercial in nature. The domain of the Site,
12 FacebooksAppEconomy.com, clearly does not communicate any intent to sell any good or
13 service.

14 13. The main navigation of the Site includes a "thumbs-down" image and the words
15 "Our Demands" and "Join Litigation". The primary content above the fold on the site is a call to
16 action to Facebook Developers to join an effort to increase awareness among lawmakers and
17 regulators, like the Federal Trade Commission, of the importance of this issue to small
18 businesses and consumers. Users of the Site can send a letter to the Federal Trade Commission
19 (FTC) and California Attorney General. Further, users can watch a documentary video
20 recounting the history of Facebook Platform, including Facebook's "bait and switch" behavior
21 that has harmed thousands of businesses, people and families.

22
23 14. The Site does not offer any good or service for sale, has no ability to take
24 payment information, and does not include or display any advertisements. 643 has no intention
25 of selling the domain or the Site. 643 is not profiting and will not profit from the Site as it has no
26
27

commercial purpose whatsoever and this lack of commercial purpose is evident prior to even entering the Site. As such, it is hard to imagine how the Site could result in consumer confusion or dilute the Facebook's trademark.

15. Rather, the Site addresses a matter of great public interest. It is estimated that Facebook's application ecosystem produced \$227 billion of economic activity and supported 4.5 million jobs in 2014 alone.² This application ecosystem currently operates in the absence of regulation. Facebook sets the rules of the game and is also one of its largest players. Facebook has taken advantage of this fact to effectuate large transfers of wealth from small businesses and consumers to itself by engaging in a series of "bait and switch" tactics that violate Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (FTCA) and California's Unfair Competition Law (UCL). Application ecosystems, or App Economies, are new and innovative industries critical to the global economy as a whole.

16. The rule of law has yet to catch up to these innovative economies, but many in the legal community have begun to take notice of the immense harm caused by a failure to regulate. As recently as 19 October 2016, Representative Henry C. "Hank" Johnson, the Ranking Member of the Antitrust Subcommittee of the House Judiciary Committee, called on the FTC to investigate anti-competitive behavior in App Economies. Johnson wrote: "It is critical that we promote and defend competition, even in markets that are highly innovative, to ensure that small entrants aren't squeezed out through needlessly restrictive conditions and policies.... I strongly encourage the FTC to give this issue the close attention that it deserves."³ The Site serves a critical function in exposing how App Economies are manipulated in the absence of regulation.

² See: "New Deloitte Report Looks at Facebook's Impact on Global Economy, Jobs," 20 January 2015, at <http://newsroom.fb.com/news/2015/01/new-deloitte-report-looks-at-facebooks-impact-on-global-economy-jobs/>.

³ See: "Johnson Leads Bipartisan Call for Investigation of Anticompetitive Practices in the App Marketplace," 19 October 2016, at <https://hankjohnson.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/johnson-leads-bipartisan-call-investigation-anticompetitive-practices>.

1 In doing so, the Site also identifies what we can do as a public and legal community to promote
2 fairness and competition in these economies in order to ensure their long-term stability and
3 protect businesses and consumers.

4 17. Upon information and belief, Facebook sent its Notice of Trademark Infringement
5 to 643 with full knowledge of the intended purpose of the Site, a purpose that is evidently both
6 non-commercial in nature and of great importance to the business and legal communities.
7 Facebook knew of this intended purpose because 643 communicated it to Facebook in advance
8 of and during a court-mandated mediation on 8 November 2016. As such, Facebook's Notice
9 was designed to create a "chilling effect" intended to suppress 643's free speech and activities in
10 furtherance of a matter of great importance to the public. The First Amendment to the
11 Constitution of the United States and California's Anti-SLAPP law (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §
12 425.16) prohibit conduct intended to create this kind of "chilling effect" around issues of great
13 public importance, particularly when the activities are in connection with a judicial proceeding
14 and an overt campaign to raise awareness among lawmakers and regulators of a great injury to
15 small software businesses founded and operating in the United States. In an effort to prevent 643
16 from raising awareness of this issue, Facebook has lashed out and accused 643 of trademark
17 infringement and dilution. There exists a justiciable controversy regarding 643's right to make a
18 fair use of the Facebook trademark free of any allegations by Defendant that such conduct
19 constitutes an infringement of any trademark owned by Defendant.
20
21

22 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
23 **(Declaratory Judgment – Non-Infringement of Trademark)**

24 18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as
25 though fully set forth herein.
26
27

19. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether the Site infringes any trademark owned by the Defendant.

20. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Declaratory Judgment – Non-Dilution of Trademark)

21. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

22. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether the Site dilutes any trademark owned by the Defendant.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Declaratory Judgment – Fair Use)

24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each and every foregoing paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

25. An actual justiciable controversy exists as to whether Plaintiff's use of any materials to which Defendant holds trademark or copyright is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine.

26. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaratory judgment that its use of the Facebook trademark is protected by the Fair Use Doctrine, California's Anti-SLAPP Law and the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff seeks judgment awarding it the following relief:

- a) An order declaring that Plaintiff does not infringe or dilute any valid trademark owned by Defendant;
- b) An order declaring that any alleged use by Plaintiff of any materials to which Defendant holds trademark or copyright is privileged under, and protected by, the Fair Use Doctrine;
- c) An order enjoining Defendant from asserting any alleged trademarks or copyrights against Plaintiff in connection with the Site and Site-related activities;
- d) An order awarding attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with this action to Plaintiff;
- e) An order awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

DATED: November 21, 2016

CRITERION LAW

BIRNBAUM & GODKIN

By: 

Basil P. Fthenakis, Esq.
David S. Godkin
James E. Kruzer
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Six4Three, LLC

Exhibit A

FWD: facebookappeconomy.com - Notice of Facebook Trademark Infringement [facebookappeconomy.com@domainsbyproxy.com] (FROM: enforcement@mm-facebook.com)

To Whom It May Concern,

We are writing concerning your registration and use of the domain name facebookappeconomy.com, which contains the famous Facebook trademark.

As you undoubtedly know, Facebook is the leading online social network service. Facebook adopted the name and trademark Facebook in February 2004 and, since that time, Facebook has actively used the Facebook name and trademark in connection with its online social network service, including maintaining the web site www.Facebook.com. The term Facebook is one of the most famous trademarks on the Internet. Facebook owns exclusive trademark rights to the Facebook name as a result of numerous trademark registrations in the United States and internationally, as well as related common law rights. Accordingly, Facebook enjoys broad trademark rights in its name.

Facebook has made a substantial investment in developing and providing its services. As a result of Facebook's pioneering efforts and devoting substantial effort and resources to providing only high quality services, the Facebook name and trademarks are widely known among the consuming public worldwide, and the name and trademarks embody substantial and valuable goodwill.

Accordingly, we were concerned when we learned of your registration and use of facebookappeconomy.com. As we hope you can appreciate, protection of its trademarks is very important to Facebook. Your registration and use of facebookappeconomy.com violates the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. 1051 et seq.) because it infringes and dilutes the famous Facebook trademark.

Infringement occurs when a third party's use of a company's trademark (or a confusingly similar variation thereof) is likely to confuse consumers as to the affiliation, sponsorship or endorsement of the third party's services. Trademark dilution occurs when a third party's use of a variation of a company's trademark is likely to lessen the distinctiveness of the company's famous trademark.

We have filed several proceedings before the United Nation's World Intellectual Property Organization's arbitration panel. Facebook has prevailed in each case and the domain names at issue were all ordered to be transferred to Facebook. Facebook is concerned that your unauthorized use of the Facebook name may cause confusion as to whether you or your company's activities are authorized, endorsed or sponsored by Facebook when, in fact, they are not.

We understand that you may have registered facebookappeconomy.com without full knowledge of the law in this area. However, Facebook is concerned about your use of the Facebook trademark in your domain name. As you may know, the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act provides for serious penalties (up to \$100,000 per domain name) against persons who, without authorization, use, sell, or offer for sale a domain name that infringes another's trademark.

While Facebook respects your right of expression and your desire to conduct business on the Internet, Facebook must enforce its own rights in order to protect its valuable and famous trademark. For these reasons, and to avoid consumer confusion, Facebook must insist that you immediately stop using facebookappeconomy.com and disable any site available at that address. You should not sell, offer to sell, or transfer the domain name to a third party and should let the domain registration expire.

Please confirm in writing that you will agree to resolve this matter as requested. If we do not receive confirmation from you that you will comply with our request, we will have no choice but to pursue all available remedies against you.

Sincerely,

Ethel

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco County
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Basil P. Fihenski, Esq. (88399)
CRITERION LAW
2225 E. Bayshore Road, Suite 200
Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel. (650) 352-8400
Fax (650) 352-8408

DEFENDANTS

FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant San Mateo County
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.
Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
☒ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
☒ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Citizen of This State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State |
| Citizen of Another State | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Foreign Nation |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395f) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC § 7609	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a)) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-Employment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 448 Education PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee-Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding
☒ 2 Removed from State Court
☒ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
☒ 4 Reinstated or Reopened
☒ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
☒ 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer
☒ 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202

Brief description of cause:

Declaratory and injunctive relief action to clarify the rights of the parties and to refute baseless assertions of trademark infringement and trademark dilution finally and definitively.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☒ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND ☒ SAN JOSE ☒ EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE: 11/21/2016

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

